

# **INSURANCE CO. FINDS VACCINATION SIDE EFFECTS UNDER-REPORTED BY 1000%**

Posted on February 26, 2022



A German insurance company found that side effects

caused by a COVID shot are a lot more common than officially reported. Due to reporting being a time-intensive and unpaid task, many doctors haven't bothered to record side effects.

**Category:** [NEWS](#)

**Tags:** [BBK ProVita](#), [Germany](#), [Paul-Ehrlich-Institut](#), [vaccines](#)

On February 23rd, the head of the German health insurance company BBK ProVita, Andreas Schöffbeck, released [a letter](#) directed at the German control authority at the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut (PEI) in which he expressed his concerns about the amount of side effects related to COVID vaccinations. Based on the data of BBK ProVita, 217,000 of their 11 million clients had to receive treatment for side effects of COVID vaccinations, approximately 2%. The PEI's official numbers are significantly lower. They reported only 244,576 injury cases out of 61,4 million vaccinated citizens in Germany, approximately 0.4%. Those numbers indicate that side effects of vaccinations may be more than 5 times more prevalent than reported.

READ the English translation of the letter [here](#).

But since the data analysis of the BBK only covered a period of roughly 7½ months, the real number of affected could be significantly higher, since the report of the PEI covers the complete duration of the vaccination campaign, which amounts to 14 months. "According to our calculations," Schöffbeck said in [an interview with \*Die Welt\*](#), "we consider it realistic that up to 400,000 of our clients had to be treated for side effects." Extrapolated to the total population of Germany, the amount of people affected by side effects runs up to 3 million—[more than 10 times the number](#) reported by the PEI.

If these calculations are valid, the PEI report has underestimated vaccination injury by

1000%.

The discrepancy is not necessarily due to maleficence. For Schöffbeck, the error can be explained by the reporting system. He points out that “doctors are not being paid for reporting side effects of the vaccination.” Furthermore, the reporting process is “very time consuming,” making it “impossible to report everything.”

Schöffbeck sent his letter to various institutions, since he considers the miscalculation “intensely alarming” and “ethically wrong not to talk about it.”

“Human lives might be at stake,” Schöffbeck said.

Schöffbeck contacted the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut via a letter on February 21st. Understanding the urgency of his findings, he demanded a response by February 23rd, 6pm. After the deadline had passed unanswered, he went public with his findings.

Schöffbeck’s letter is not the only one of its kind to be ignored by German authorities in the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut. As we [recently reported](#), an open letter by five German chemistry professors was directed to the PEI, presenting a series of urgent questions regarding, among other things, the quality control of vaccine batches. These chemists speculated that bad batches might have been related to increased vaccination side effects.

These letters are timely, and the evidence they present may alter the course of a heated discussion surrounding mandatory vaccination in Germany. While some [contact restrictions are being loosened](#), mandatory vaccination is still not off the table. However, concerns surrounding the side effects of the vaccination are quickly becoming a major argument against such a mandate.

Bringing the risk of COVID vaccine injury to public knowledge, alongside the gathering evidence of [diminishing returns of multiple vaccinations](#) may be the only chance for Germans to regain their freedoms.